TPS 11/3/09

DEPARTMENTS THAT CURRENTLY RECORD A MAJORITY OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS¹

PD stands for Police Department, DPS for Department of Public Safety, and CS for County Sheriff.

Alabama

Mobile CS Mobile PD Prichard PD Alaska All departments - Supreme Court ruling² Arizona Casa Grande PD Chandler PD Coconino CS El Mirage PD Flagstaff PD Gila CS Gilbert PD Glendale PD Marana PD Maricopa CS Mesa PD Oro Valley PD Payson PD Peoria PD Phoenix PD Pima CS Pinal CS Prescott PD Scottsdale PD Sierra Vista PD Somerton PD South Tucson PD Surprise PD Tempe PD Tucson PD Yavapai CS Yuma CS Yuma PD

Arkansas³

AR State PD Eureka Springs PD Favetteville FD Fayetteville PD 14th Judicial District Drug Task Force Washington CS Van Buren PD California Alameda CS Arcadia PD Auburn PD **Bishop PD** Butte CS Carlsbad PD Contra Costa CS El Cajon PD El Dorado CS Escondido PD Folsom PD Grass Valley PD Hayward PD LaMesa PD Livermore PD Oceanside PD Orange CO Fire Authority Orange CS Placer CS Pleasanton PD Rocklin PD Roseville PD Sacramento CS Sacramento PD San Bernardino CS San Diego PD San Francisco PD

San Joaquin CS San Jose PD San Leandro PD San Luis PD Santa Clara CS Santa Clara PD Santa Cruz PD Stockton PD Sunnyvale DPS Union City PD Vallejo PD Ventura CS West Sacramento PD Woodland PD Yolo CS Colorado Arvada PD Aurora PD Boulder PD **Brighton PD Broomfield PD** Colorado Springs PD Commerce City PD Cortez PD Denver PD El Paso CS Ft. Collins PD Lakewood PD Larimer CS Logan CS Loveland PD Montezuma CS Sterling PD Thornton PD

Connecticut⁴ Bloomfield PD Cheshire PD CT State PD Internal Affairs Unit Delaware DE State PD New Castle City PD New Castle County PD **District of Columbia** All departments - statute⁵ Florida Broward CS Cape Coral PD Collier CS **Coral Springs PD** Daytona Beach PD Ft. Lauderdale PD Ft. Myers PD Hallandale Beach PD Hialeah PD Hollywood PD Key West PD Kissimmee PD Lee CS Manatee CS Margate PD Miami PD Monroe CS Mount Dora PD Orange CS Osceola CS Palatka PD Pembroke Pines PD Pinellas CS Port Orange PD Sanibel PD St. Petersburg PD Georgia Atlanta PD Centerville PD Cobb County PD DeKalb County PD Fulton County PD Gwinnett County PD Houston CS

Macon PD Perry PD Savannah-Chatham PD Warner Robins PD Hawaii Honolulu PD Idaho Ada CS Blaine CS **Boise City PD** Boise CS Bonneville CS Caldwell PD Canyon CS Cassia CS Coeur d' Alene PD Garden City PD Gooding CS Gooding PD Hailey PD ID Dept Fish & Games ID Falls PD ID State PD Jerome CS Jerome PD Ketchum PD Lincoln CS Meridian PD Nampa PD Pocatello PD Post Falls PD Twin Falls PD Illinois All departments homicides - statute⁶ Other felonies -**Bloomington PD** Cahokia PD Carlinville PD Caseyville PD Dixon PD DuPage CS East St. Louis PD Fairview Heights PD Galena PD IL Gaming Board

Kankakee CS Kankakee PD Lincoln PD Macon CS Naperville PD O'Fallon PD Rockton PD Springfield PD St. Clair CS Swansea PD Troy PD Winnebago CS Indiana⁷ Albion PD Allen CS Atlanta PD Auburn PD **Bluffton PD** Carmel PD Cicero PD Clark CS Clarksville PD Columbia City PD Dver PD Elkhart CS Elkhart PD Elwood PD Fishers PD Flovd CS Fort Wayne PD Greensburg PD Hamilton CS Hancock CS Hartford PD IN State PD Jeffersonville PD Johnson CS Kendallville PD LaGrange CS Lowell PD Montpelier PD Nappanee PD Noble CS Noblesville PD Pendleton PD Schererville PD

Sheridan PD Shipshewana PD Steuben CS Tipton PD Westfield PD Wells CS Iowa⁸ Altoona PD Ames PD Ankeny PD Arnolds Park PD Benton CS Bettendorf PD Cedar Rapids PD Clarion PD Colfax PD Council Bluffs PD Davenport PD Des Moines PD Fayette CS Fayette County PD Iowa City PD Iowa DPS Johnson CS Kossuth CS Linn CS Marion PD Marshalltown PD Mason City PD Merrill PD Muscatine PD Nevada PD Parkersburg PD Polk CS Pottawattamie CS Sioux City PD Storm Lake PD Vinton PD Washington CS Waterloo PD Waverly PD West Burlington PD Woodbury CS

Kansas Derby PD Junction City PD Kansas Univ. DPS Liberal PD Newton PD Ottawa PD Sedgwick CS Sedgwick PD Shawnee CS Topeka PD Wichita PD Kentucky Elizabethtown PD Hardin CS Jeffersontown PD Louisville Metro PD Louisville PD Oldham CS St. Matthews PD Louisiana Lafayette City PD Lake Charles PD Oak Grove PD **Plaquemines Parish CS** St. Tammany Parish CS Maine All departments - statute⁹ Maryland All departments - statute¹⁰ Massachusetts¹¹ Barnstable PD Boston PD Bourne PD Brewster PD Cambridge Chatham PD Dalton PD Dennis PD Easton PD **Edgartown PD** Fall River PD MA State PD North Central Correctional Inst.

Oak Bluffs PD Orleans PD Pittsfield PD Revere Fire Dept. Somerset PD Tewksbury PD Troro PD West Tisbury PD Yarmouth PD Michigan Auburn Hills PD Benzie CS **Big Rapids DPS** Cass County Drug Enforcement Team Cass County CS Charlevoix CS Detroit PD (homicides) Emmet CS Farmington DPS Gerrish Township PD Gladwin PD Huntington Woods DPS Isabella CS Kent CS Kentwood PD Lake CS Ludington PD Manistee CS Mason CS Mecosta CS MI State PD Milford PD Mt. Pleasant PD Niles City PD Novi PD Oak Park DPS **Onaway PD** Paw Paw PD Redford Township PD Scottville PD Troy PD

Waterford PD West Branch PD Wyoming PD Minnesota All departments - Supreme Court ruling¹² Mississippi Biloxi PD Cleveland PD **Gulfport PD** Harrison CS Jackson CS Missouri All departments - statute¹³ Montana All departments - statute¹⁴ Nebraska All departments - statute¹⁵ Nevada Boulder City PD Carlin PD **Douglas** CS Elko CS Elko PD Henderson PD Lander CS Las Vegas Metro PD Nevada DPS North Las Vegas PD Reno PD Sparks PD Washoe CS Wells PD Yerington PD New Hampshire¹⁶ Carroll CS Concord PD Conway PD Enfield PD Keene PD Laconia PD Lebanon PD Nashua PD NH State PD Plymouth PD

Portsmouth PD Swanzey PD **New Jersey** All departments - Supreme Court Rule¹⁷ New Mexico All departments - statute¹⁸ New York **Binghamton PD** Broome CS Cayuga Heights PD Delaware CS Deposit PD Dryden PD Endicott PD Greece PD Glenville PD Irondequoit PD NY State PD - Ithaca NY State PD - Oneonta NY State PD - Sidney Rotterdam PD Schenectady PD Tompkins CS Vestal PD **North Carolina** All departments homicides - statute¹⁹ Other felonies -**Burlington PD** Concord PD Wilmington PD North Dakota **Bismarck PD Burleigh** CS Fargo PD Grand Forks CS Grand Forks PD Valley City PD Ohio Akron PD Cincinnati PD Columbus PD Dublin PD Franklin PD

Garfield Heights PD Grandview Heights PD Grove City PD Hartford PD Hudson PD Millersburg PD OH Board of Pharmacy OH State Univ. PD Ontario PD Reynoldsburg PD Springboro PD Upper Arlington PD Wapakoneta PD Warren CS Westerville PD Westlake PD Worthington PD Oklahoma Moore PD Norman PD Oklahoma CS Tecumseh PD Oregon All departments - statute (effective Jan. 1, 2010)²⁰ Bend PD Clackamas CS Coburg PD Corvallis PD Douglas CS Eugene PD Lincoln City PD Medford PD Ontario PD OR State PD, Springfield Portland PD Roseburg PD Salem PD Toledo PD Warrenton PD Yamhill CS

Pennsylvania Bethlehem PD Tredyffrin Township PD Whitehall PD **Rhode Island RI** Dept of Public Safety (capital offenses) Woonsocket PD South Carolina Aiken CS Aiken DPS N. Augusta DPS Savannah River Site Law Enf. South Dakota Aberdeen PD **Brookings PD** Brown CS Clay CS Lincoln CS Minnehaha CS Mitchell PD Rapid City PD Sioux Falls PD SD State Div. of Criminal Investigations SD State Univ. PD Vermillion PD Tennessee Blount CS Bradley CS Brentwood PD Chattanooga PD Cleveland PD Goodlettsville PD Hamilton CS Hendersonville PD Loudon CS Montgomery CS Murfreesboro PD Nashville PD Texas²¹ Abilene PD Andrews PD Arlington PD Austin PD

Burleson PD Cedar Hill PD Cedar Park PD Cleburne PD Collin CS Corpus Christi PD Dallas PD Duncanville PD Florence PD Frisco PD Georgetown PD Granger PD Harris CS Houston PD Hutto PD Irving PD Johnson CS Kileen PD Knox CSO Leander PD Midland PD Parker CS Plano PD Randall CS Richardson PD Round Rock PD San Antonio PD San Jacinto CS Southlake DPS Sugar Land PD Taylor PD Travis CS Webster PD Williamson CS Utah²² Layton PD Salt Lake City PD Salt Lake CS Utah CS Vermont **Burlington PD** Norwich PD Rutland PD

Virginia Alexandria PD Chesterfield County PD Clarke CS Fairfax PD Loudoun CS Norfolk PD Richmond PD Stafford CS Virginia Beach PD Washington Adams CS Arlington PD Bellevue PD Bothell PD **Buckley PD** Columbia CS Ellesburg PD Federal Way PD Kennewick PD Kent City PD King CS Kirkland PD Kittitas CS Klickitat CS Lewis CS Marysville PD Mercer Island PD Mount Vernon PD Pierce CS Prosser PD Snohomish CS Thurston CS Univ. WA PD Walla Walla PD WA State Patrol Yakima CS West Virginia Charles Town PD Monongalia CS Morgantown CS Morgantown PD Wheeling PD

Wisconsin All departments - statute²³ Wyoming Cheyenne PD Cody PD Gillette City PD Laramie CS Laramie PD Lovell PD Polk CS Federal²⁴ Air Force Office of Special Investigations²⁵ Department of Defense²⁶ Naval Criminal Investigative Service²⁷

² Stephan v. State, 711 P.2d 1156, 1162 (Alaska 1985).

 3 In *Clark v. State*, 374 Ark. 292 (2008), the Arkansas Supreme Court rejected the defendant's argument that she had a constitutional right to have the police make a complete recording of her custodial interview. However, the Court stated, "we believe that the criminal-justice system will be better served if our supervisory authority is brought to bear on this issue. We therefore refer the practicability of adopting such a rule to the Committee on Criminal Practice for study and consideration." *Clark*, 374 Ark. at 304.

⁴ In 2008, the Connecticut General Assembly instructed the Advisory Commission on Wrongful Convictions to implement a "pilot program to electronically record the interrogations of arrested persons" and report findings and recommendations by July 1, 2009. Act of June 5, 2008, Pub. Act No. 08-143, sec. 2-4, 2008 Conn. Legis. Serv. (West), effective June 5, 2008. The Commission reported that of the ninety-nine custodial interviews recorded under the pilot program, eighty-four interviews were covert, fifty-five resulted in confessions, and three resulted in statements of criminal involvement. CONN. ADVISORY COMM'N ON WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS, REPORT, at 4 (Feb. 2009). A substantial majority of detectives reported positive opinions of the recording program, and a remainder expressed neutral opinions. REPORT at app. B. The detectives reported that the use of recording equipment did not interfere with questioning or outcomes. REPORT at app. B.

⁵ D.C. CODE §§ 5-116.01-03 (West 2009), effective Apr. 13, 2005.

⁶ 705 ILL. COMP. STAT. ANN. § 405/5-401.5 and 725 ILL. COMP. STAT. ANN. § 5/103-2.1 (West 2009), effective July 18, 2005.

⁷ In September 2009, the Indiana Supreme Court entered an order stating, "this Court finds that the interests of justice and sound judicial administration will be served by the adoption of a new Rule of Evidence to require electronic audio-video recordings of customary custodial interrogation of suspects in felony cases as a prerequisite for the admission of evidence of any statements made during such interrogation." Under the Court's "inherent authority to supervise the administration of all courts of this state," the Court added Rule 617, which requires custodial interrogations of felony suspects to be recorded, beginning January 1, 2011. Indiana Rule of Evidence 617 - Unrecorded Statements During Custodial Interrogation.

¹ In August 2007, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws approved formation of a drafting committee to formulate a uniform state statute on electronic recording of custodial interrogations.

⁸ Following the ruling of the Iowa Supreme Court in *State v. Hajtic*, 724 N.W.2d 449 (Iowa 2006), the Attorney General wrote in the State Police Association's publication: "Although the court stated that it is 'encouraging' the practice of electronic recording, the attorney general's office believes that the *Hajtic* decision should be interpreted as essentially requiring this practice." Tom Miller, *Cautions Regarding Custodial Issues*, IOWA POLICE J., vol. 39, no. 1, at 15 (2007)

⁹ ME REV. STAT. ANN. Title 25, § 2803-B(1)(K) (West 2009), effective Jan. 1, 2005.

¹⁰ The Maryland Code of Criminal Procedure requires that law enforcement units shall make "reasonable efforts" to create a recording of custodial interviews of suspects in connection with cases involving named felonies "whenever possible." MD. ANN. CODE, CRIM. PROC. § 2-402 (West 2009), effective Oct. 1, 2008.

¹¹ Commonwealth v. DiGiambattista, 813 N.E.2d 516, 533-34 (Mass. 2004). Following this ruling, the state Attorney General and District Attorneys Ass'n wrote in a Sept. 2006 Justice Initiative Report: "Law enforcement officers shall, whenever it is practical and with the suspect's knowledge, electronically record all custodial interrogations of suspects and interrogations of suspects conducted in places of detention." The Chiefs of Police Ass'n, District Attorneys Ass'n and State Police distributed a "Sample Policy and Procedure" (No. 2.17) to law enforcement agencies throughout the state, which states, "It is the policy of the department, whenever it is practical, to electronically record all custodial interrogations of suspects of suspects in places of detention."

¹² State v. Scales, 518 N.W.2d 587, 591 (Minn. 1994).

¹³ MO. REV. STAT. ch. 590, sec. 701.

¹⁴ The Montana statute requires recording of custodial interviews of felony suspects. Act of Apr. 15, 2009, ch. 214, 2009 Mont. Laws (West), effective Oct. 1, 2009 (to be codified at MONT. CODE ANN. tit. 46, ch. 4).

¹⁵ NEB. REV. STAT. ANN. § 29-4501-4508 (West 2009), effective July 18, 2008.

¹⁶ In *State v. Barnett*, 789 A.2d 629, 632-33 (N.H. 2001), the New Hampshire Supreme Court held that if an electronically recorded statement is offered into evidence, the recording is admissible only if the entire post-*Miranda* interrogation interview was recorded. The ruling does not require that custodial interviews be recorded either in whole or in part. If a partially recorded statement is excluded from evidence because the entire interview was not recorded, testimonial evidence is nevertheless admissible as to what occurred before, during and after the custodial interview, including the portion that was recorded.

¹⁷ N.J. CT. R. 3.17 (2005).

¹⁸ N.M. STAT. ANN. § 29-1-16 (West 2009), effective Jan. 1, 2006.

¹⁹ N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 15A-211 (West 2009), effective Mar. 1, 2008.

²⁰ The Oregon statute requires recording of custodial interviews of suspects of aggravated homicides and crimes with mandatory minimum sentences. Act of Jan. 24, 2009, ch. 488, 2009 Or. Laws ch. 488 (West 2009), effective July 1, 2010 and July 1, 2011 (to be codified at OR. REV. STAT. § 165.540).

²¹ The Texas Code of Criminal Procedure provides that a defendant's unrecorded oral statement is inadmissible unless the statement "contains assertions of facts or circumstances that are found to be true and which conduce to establish the guilt of the accused." TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 38.22 (Vernon 2009) (effective Sept. 1, 1989, amended 2001); *see Moore v. State*, 999 S.W.2d 385, 400 (Tex. App. 1999). The statute does not require recording of custodial interviews preceding recorded statements, nor exclusion of suspects' unrecorded written statements. *See Rae v. State*, No. 01-98-00283-CR, 2001 WL 125977, at 3 (Tex. App. 2001); *Franks v. State*, 712 S.W.2d 858, 860 (Tex. App. 1986).

²² The Utah Attorney General has adopted a Best Practices Statement, endorsed by all state law enforcement agencies, recommending that custodial interrogations in a fixed place of detention of persons suspected of committing a statutory violent felony, should be electronically recorded from the *Miranda* warnings to the end in their entirety. Various exceptions to the requirement are included. Office of the Utah Attorney General, *Best Practices Statement for Law Enforcement: Recommendations for Recording of Custodial Interviews* (Oct. 2008).

²³ Wis. Stat. Ann. §§ 968.073, 972.115 (West 2009), effective Dec. 31, 2005.

²⁴ In October, 2009, the Commission on Military Justice (the "Cox Commission") released a report containing recommendations "to advance principles of justice, equity, and fairness in American military justice," including: "Require military law enforcement agencies to videotape the entirety of custodial interrogations of crime suspects at law enforcement offices, detention centers, or other places where suspects are held for questioning, or, where videotaping is not practicable, to audiotape the entirety of such custodial interrogations."

²⁵ Air Force Judge Advocate General's Online News Service, August 26, 2009: "AFOSI will begin recording all subject interviews beginning 1 October 2009. The current draft policy requires DVD recording of all subject interviews, with limited exceptions, and the optional recording of witness and victim interviews."

²⁶ Section 1080 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 requires that "each strategic intelligence interrogation of any person who is in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense or under detention in a [DOD] facility is videotaped or otherwise electronically recorded." The "term 'strategic intelligence interrogation' means an interrogation of a person . . . conducted at a theater-level detention facility." The DOD Judge Advocate General is to develop guidelines.

²⁷ U.S. Naval Criminal Investigative Services Manual, General Order 00-0012, "Policy Change Regarding Recording of Interrogations," Sept. 4, 2008. Require audio or video recording of interrogations of suspects involving crimes of violence which take place within an NCIS facility. The Special Agent-in-Charge or supervisory designee may make a decision not to record when recording would be counterproductive or impede the interrogation.